ED321484 1990-00-00 Gifted but Learning Disabled: A Puzzling Paradox. ERIC Digest #E479.

ERIC Development Team

www.eric.ed.gov

Table of Contents

If you're viewing this document online, you can click any of the topics below to link directly to that section.

out Learning Disabled: A Puzzling Paradox. ERIC Digest #E479.1
ARE THE LEARNING DISABLED/GIFTED?2
ITIFIED LEARNING DISABLED STUDENTS WHO ARE ALSO
GIFTED 3
RICULAR NEEDS 4
VIDE A NURTURING ENVIRONMENT THAT VALUES
NDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES 4
CLUSION 6
ERENCES



ERIC Identifier: ED321484 Publication Date: 1990-00-00

Author: Baum, Susan

Source: ERIC Clearinghouse on Handicapped and Gifted Children Reston VA. Gifted but Learning Disabled: A Puzzling Paradox. ERIC Digest #E479.

THIS DIGEST WAS CREATED BY ERIC, THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER. FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT ERIC, CONTACT

ACCESS ERIC 1-800-LET-ERIC

How can a child learn and not learn at the same time? Why do some students apply little or no effort to school tasks while they commit considerable time and effort to demanding, creative activities outside of school? These behaviors are typical of some students who are simultaneously gifted and learning disabled. For many people, however, the terms learning disabilities and giftedness are at opposite ends of a learning continuum. In some states, because of funding regulations, a student may be identified and assisted with either learning disabilities or giftedness, but not both.

Uneasiness in accepting this seeming contradiction in terms stems primarily from faulty and incomplete understandings. This is not surprising, because the "experts" in each of these disciplines have difficulty reaching agreement. Some still believe that giftedness is equated with outstanding achievement across all subject areas. Thus, a student who is an expert on bugs at age 8 may automatically be excluded from consideration for a program for gifted students because he cannot read, though he can name and classify a hundred species of insects. Many educators view below-grade-level achievement as a prerequisite to a diagnosis of a learning disability. Thus, an extremely bright student who is struggling to stay on grade level, may slip through the cracks of available services because he or she is not failing.

WHO ARE THE LEARNING DISABLED/GIFTED?

Recent advances in both fields have alerted professionals to the possibility that both sets of behavior can exist simultaneously (Baum and Owen, 1988; Fox, Brody, and Tobin, 1983; Whitmore and Maker, 1985). Children who are both gifted and learning disabled exhibit remarkable talents or strengths in some areas and disabling weaknesses in others. They can be grouped into three categories: (1)identified gifted students who have subtle learning disabilities, (2) unidentified students whose gifts and disabilities may be masked by average achievement, and (3) identified learning disabled students who are also gifted.

IDENTIFIED GIFTED STUDENTS WHO HAVE SUBTLE LEARNING DISABILITIES. This group is easily identified as gifted because of high achievement or high IQ scores. As they grow older, discrepancies widen between expected and actual performance. These students may impress teachers with their verbal abilities, while their spelling or handwriting contradicts the image. At times, they may be forgetful, sloppy and disorganized. In middle school or junior high, where there are more long-term written assignments and a heavier emphasis on comprehensive, independent reading, some bright students find it increasingly difficult to achieve. Concerned adults are convinced that if these students would only try harder, they could succeed.

While increased effort may be required for these students, the real issue is that they simply do not know how! Because they may be on grade level and are considered gifted, they are likely to be overlooked for screening procedures necessary to identify a subtle learning disability. Identification of a subtle disability would help students

understand why they are experiencing academic difficulties. More important, professionals could offer learning strategies and compensation techniques to help them deal with their duality of learning behaviors.

A word of caution is necessary at this point. A learning disability is not the only cause of a discrepancy between potential and achievement. There are a number of other reasons why bright children may be underachieving. Perhaps expectations are unrealistic. Excelling in science, for example, is no assurance that high-level performance will be shown in other academic areas. Motivation, interest, and specific aptitudes influence the amount of energy students are willing to apply to a given task. Social or emotional problems can interfere with achievement. Grades and school are simply unimportant to some students. Some youngsters have not learned how to study because, during primary grades, school was easy and success required minimal effort.

UNIDENTIFIED STUDENTS. The second group of youngsters in which this combination of learning behaviors may be found are those who are not noticed at all. These students are struggling to stay at grade level. Their superior intellectual ability is working overtime to help compensate for weaknesses caused by an undiagnosed learning disability. In essence, their gift masks the disability and the disability masks the gift. These students are often difficult to find because they do not flag the need for attention by exceptional behavior. Their hidden talents and abilities may merge in specific content areas or may be stimulated by a classroom teacher who uses a creative approach to learning. The disability is frequently discovered in college or adulthood when the student happens to read about dyslexia or hears peers describe their learning difficulties.

IDENTIFIED LEARNING DISABLED STUDENTS WHO ARE ALSO GIFTED.

These bright children, discovered within the population of students who are identified as learning disabled, are often failing miserably in school. They are first noticed because of what they cannot do, rather than because of the talent they are demonstrating. This group of students is most at risk because of the implicit message that accompanies the LD categorization that there is something wrong with the student that must be fixed before anything else can happen. Parents and teachers alike become totally focused on the problem. Little attention, if any, is paid to the student's strengths and interests, other than to use them to remediate weaknesses.

Interestingly, these children often have high-level interests at home. They may build fantastic structures with plastic bricks or start a local campaign to save the whales. The creative abilities, intellectual strength and passion they bring to their hobbies are clear indicators of their potential for giftedness (Renzulli, 1978). Because these students are bright and sensitive, they are more acutely aware of their difficulty in learning. Furthermore, they tend to generalize their feelings of academic failure to an overall

sense of inadequacy. Over time, these pessimistic feelings over-shadow any positive feelings connected with what they accomplish on their own at home. Research has shown that this group of students is often rated by teachers as most disruptive at school. They are frequently found to be off task; they may act out, daydream, or complain of headaches and stomachaches; and they are easily frustrated and use their creative abilities to avoid tasks (Baum and Owen, 1988; Whitmore, 1980). Since school does not offer these bright youngsters much opportunity to polish and use their gifts, such results are not surprising.

CURRICULAR NEEDS

Although each of these subgroups has unique problems, they all require an environment that will nurture their gifts, attend to the learning disability and provide the emotional support to deal with their inconsistent abilities. Four general guidelines can assist professionals in developing programs that will meet the needs of these students. FOCUS ATTENTION ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE GIFT. Remediation of basic skills historically has been the single focus of efforts to serve students once they have been classified as learning disabled. Few opportunities exist for bright students with learning disabilities to demonstrate gifted behaviors. Research has shown that a focus on weaknesses at the expense of developing gifts can result in poor self esteem, a lack of motivation, depression and stress (Baum, 1984; Whitmore & Maker, 1985). In addition to offering remediation, focused attention on the development of strengths, interests, and superior intellectual capacities is necessary. These students need a stimulating educational environment which will enable them to fully develop their talents and abilities. Enrichment activities should be designed to circumvent problematic weaknesses and to highlight abstract thinking and creative production.

Over the last 6 years, the state of Connecticut has funded a variety of special programs for gifted students who have learning disabilities. All the programs have emphasized the development of gifts and talents of these students. The results of the projects showed dramatic improvement in student self-esteem, motivation, and productive learning behaviors. Improved achievement in basic skills for many students has been an unexpected bonus (Baum, 1988). In fact, according to Whitmore and Maker (1985), more gains are seen when intervention focuses on the gift rather than the disability.

PROVIDE A NURTURING ENVIRONMENT THAT VALUES INDIVIDUAL DIFFERENCES.

According to Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs (1962), individuals must feel that they belong and are valued in order to reach their potential or self-actualize. How valued can a student feel if the curriculum must be continually modified, or assignments watered down, to enable the student to achieve success?

Currently, only certain abilities are rewarded by schools, primarily those that involve strong verbal proficiency. Indeed, according to Howard Gardner (1983), schools spend much of their time teaching students the skills they would need to become college professors. Success in the real world depends on skills or knowledge in other areas besides reading and writing.

A nurturing environment--one that shows concern for developing student potential--values and respects individual differences. Students are rewarded for what they do well. Options are offered for both acquiring information and communicating what is learned. The philosophy fosters and supports interdependence; students work in cooperative groups to achieve goals. Many types of intelligence are acknowledged; A well-produced video production about life in the Amazon is as valued as the well written essay on the same topic. In such an environment no child will feel like a second class citizen, and the gifted students with learning disabilities can excel.

ENCOURAGE COMPENSATION STRATEGIES. Learning disabilities tend to be somewhat permanent. A poor speller will always need to check for errors in spelling before submitting a final draft. Students who have difficulty memorizing mathematics may need to use a calculator to assure accuracy. Thus, simply remediating weaknesses may not be appropriate or sufficient for the gifted learning disabled student. Remediation will make the learner somewhat more proficient, but probably not excellent, in areas of weakness. For instance, students who have difficulty with handwriting will ultimately fare much better if allowed to use a computer to record their ideas on paper than they will after years of remediation in handwriting. The following list outlines suggestions for providing compensation techniques to help students cope with weaknesses typical of learning disabled students:



1. Find sources of information that are appropriate for students who may have difficulty reading. Some examples are visitations, interviews, photographs, pictorial histories, films, lectures, or experimentation. Remember, these children do not want the curriculum to be less challenging or demanding. Rather, they need alternative ways to receive the information.



2. Provide advanced organizers to help students receive and communicate information. Students who have difficulty organizing and managing time also benefit from receiving outlines of class lectures, study guides, and a syllabus of topics to be covered. Teach students who have difficulty transferring ideas to a sequential format on paper to use brainstorming and webbing to generate outlines and organize written work. Provide management plans in which tasks are listed sequentially with target dates for completion. Finally, provide a structure or visual format to guide the finished product. A

sketch of an essay or science project board will enable these students to produce a well-organized product.



3. Use technology to promote productivity. Technology has provided efficient means to organize and access information, increase accuracy in mathematics and spelling, and enhance the visual quality of the finished product. In short, it allows students with learning disabilities to hand in work of which they can feel proud. Preventing these students from using word processing programs to complete all written assignments is like prohibiting blind children from using texts printed in braille!



4. Offer a variety of options for communication of ideas. Writing is not the only way to communicate; all learning can be expressed and applied in a variety of modes. Slides, models, speeches, mime, murals, and film productions are examples. Remember, however, to offer these options to all children. Alternate modes should be the rule rather than the exception.



5. Help students who have problems in short-term memory develop strategies for remembering. The use of mnemonics, especially those created by students themselves, is one effective strategy to enhance memory. Visualization techniques have also proved to be effective. Resources are listed at the end of this digest.

ENCOURAGE AWARENESS OF INDIVIDUAL STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES. It is imperative that students who are gifted and learning disabled understand their abilities, strengths, and weaknesses so that they can make intelligent choices about their future. If a goal that is important to such a student will require extensive reading, and, if reading is a weak area, the student will have to acknowledge the role of effort and the need for assistance to achieve success. "Rap" sessions, in which these students can discuss their frustrations and learn how to cope with their strange mix of abilities and disabilities, are helpful. Mentoring experiences with adults who are gifted and learning disabled will lend validity to the belief that such individuals can succeed.

CONCLUSION

In the final analysis, students who are both gifted and learning disabled must learn how to be their own advocates. They must ultimately choose careers that will accentuate their strengths. In doing so they will meet others who think, feel, and create as they do. One such student, after years of feeling different and struggling to succeed, was finally able to make appropriate decisions about what he truly needed in his life. He was an

outstanding amateur photographer who loved music. He had also started several "businesses" during his teenage years. In his junior year at college he became depressed and realized that he was totally dissatisfied with his coursework, peers, and instructors. He wondered whether he should quit school. After all, he was barely earning C's in his courses. His advisor suggested that he might like to create his own major, perhaps in the business of art. That was the turning point in this young man's life. For the first time since primary grades, he began to earn A's in his courses. He related that he finally felt worthwhile. "You know," he said, "finally I'm with people who think like me and have my interests and values. I am found!"

REFERENCES

Baum, S. (1984). "Meeting the needs of learning disabled gifted children." ROEPER REVIEW, 7, 16-19.

Baum, S. (1988). "An enrichment program for gifted learning disabled students." GIFTED CHILD QUARTERLY, 32, 226-230.

Baum, S. & Owen, S. (1988). "High Ability/Learning Disabled Students: How are they different?" GIFTED CHILD QUARTERLY, 32, 321-326.

Fox, L. H., Brody, L. & Tobin, D. (Eds.) (1983). LEARNING

DISABLED GIFTED CHILDREN: IDENTIFICATION AND PROGRAMMING.

Baltimore, MD: Allyn & Bacon.

Gardner, H. (1983). FRAMES OF MIND: THE THEORY OF MULTIPLE INTELLIGENCES. New York: Basic Books, Inc.

Maslow, A. (1962). TOWARD A PSYCHOLOGY OF BEING. Princeton, NJ: Van Nostrand.

Renzulli, J. (1978). "What makes giftedness: Reexamining a definition." PHI DELTA KAPPAN, 60, 180-184.

Whitmore, J. (1980). GIFTEDNESS, CONFLICT, AND UNDER ACHIEVEMENT. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Whitmore, J. & Maker, J. (1985). INTELLECTUAL GIFTEDNESS AMONG DISABLED PERSONS. Rockville, MD: Aspen Press.



RESOURCES



WEBBING AND MIND-MAPPING.

Heimlich, J. E. & Pittleman, S. D. (1986). SEMANTIC MAPPING: CLASSROOM APPLICATIONS. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.

Large, C. (1987). THE CLUSTERING APPROACH TO BETTER ESSAY WRITING. Monroe, NY: Trillium Press.

Rico, G. L. (1983). WRITING THE NATURAL WAY. Los Angeles: J. P. Tarcher.



VISUALIZATION TECHNIQUES TO IMPROVE MEMORY.

Write to Trillium Press, P. O. Box 209, Monroe NY 10950 for information on the following materials:

Bagley, M. T. USING IMAGERY TO DEVELOP MEMORY.

Bagley, M. T. USING IMAGERY IN CREATIVE PROBLEM SOLVING.

Bagley, M. T. & Hess, K. K. TWO HUNDRED WAYS OF USING

IMAGERY IN THE CLASSROOM.

Hess, K. K. ENHANCING WRITING THROUGH IMAGERY.



USING TECHNOLOGY

Summa, D. & Kelly, S. (1989). "What's new in software? Computer software for gifted education." READING, WRITING, AND LEARNING DISABILITIES, 5, 293-296.



ADDITIONAL READING

Armstrong, T. (1987). IN THEIR OWN WAY: DISCOVERING AND ENCOURAGING YOUR CHILD'S PERSONAL LEARNING STYLE. Los Angeles: J.P. Tarcher. Distributed by St. Martin's Press. A former teacher and learning disabilities specialist describes learning differences and provides suggestions.

Cannon, T., & Cordell, A. (1985, November). "Gifted kids can't always spell." ACADEMIC THERAPY, 21, 143-152. Briefly discusses characteristics of the gifted learning disabled child, possible patterns on tests, and strategies for instruction.

Daniels, P. (1983). TEACHING THE GIFTED/LEARNING DISABLED CHILD. Rockville, MD: Aspen. Designed for educators and often technical.

Fox, L., Brody, L., & Tobin, D. (Eds.). (1983). LEARNING DISABLED GIFTED CHILDREN: IDENTIFICATION AND PROGRAMMING. Austin, TX: Pro Ed. The most comprehensive study available, containing a variety of experts' opinions.

"Getting learning disabled students ready for college" (n.d.). Washington, DC: American Council on Education, HEATH Resource Center. A useful fact sheet and checklist.

"How to choose a college: Guide for the student with a disability" (n.d.). Washington, DC: American Council on Education, HEATH Resource Center.

Prihoda, J., Bieber, T., Kay, C., Kerkstra, P., & Ratclif, J.(Eds.). (1989). "Community colleges and students with disabilities." Washington, DC: American Council on Education, HEATH Resource Center. Lists services and programs for disabled students at more than 650 U.S. community, technical, and junior colleges.

Rosner, S. (1985, May/June). "Special twice: Guidelines for developing programs for gifted children with specific learning disabilities." G/C/T, 38, 55-58. A very basic overview.

Scheiber, B., & Talpers, J. (1987). UNLOCKING POTENTIAL. Bethesda, MD: Adler and Adler. Offers advice on everything from diagnosis and vocational assessments to specific college programs designed to accommodate students with learning disabilities and provide them with study skills.

Silver, L. (1984). THE MISUNDERSTOOD CHILD: A GUIDE FOR PARENTS OF LEARNING DISABLED CHILDREN. New York: McGraw-Hill. An easy-to-read basic and informative book with a focus on children with learning disabilities, yet relevant to children who are gifted and learning disabled.

Vail, P. (1987). SMART KIDS WITH SCHOOL PROBLEMS. New York: E.P. Dutton. Emphasizes the traits of gifted students and the learning styles that set students who are gifted and learning disabled apart.

Whitmore, J. (1982, January). "Recognizing and developing hidden giftedness." THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL JOURNAL, 82, 274-283. Explores myths about GT children that hinder the identification of children who are gifted and learning disabled.

Whitmore, J., & Maker, C.J. (1985). INTELLECTUAL GIFTEDNESS AMONG

DISABLED PERSONS. Rockville, MD: Aspen. One chapter is devoted to children who are specifically gifted and learning disabled, with excellent case studies.

Wolf, J., & Gygi, J. (1981). "Learning disabled and gifted: Success or failure?" JOURNAL FOR THE EDUCATION OF THE GIFTED, 4, 204. Provides well-stated definitions of the qualities of students who are gifted and learning disabled, with ideas about identification and programming.

Note. Reprinted by permission of the publisher Helen Dwight Reid Educational Foundation, published by Heldref, 4000 Albemarle St. N.W., Washington, D. C. 20016, from PREVENTING SCHOOL FAILURE, (Fall 1989), 34 (1)11-14. Derived from

TO BE GIFTED AND LEARNING DISABLED...FROM DEFINITIONS TO PRACTICAL

INTERVENTION STRATEGIES, by S. Baum published by Creative Lear

Press. Dr. Susan Baum is an assistant professor at the College of New Rochelle in New York.

The additional Readings section is from S. Berger (1989), COLLEGE PLANNING FOR GIFTED STUDENTS. Reston, VA: The ERIC Clearinghouse on Handicapped and Gifted Children/The Council for Exceptional Children.

ERIC digests are in the public domain and may be freely reproduced and disseminated.

This publication was prepared with funding from the Office of Educational Research and Improvement, U.S. Department of Education, under contract no. RI88062007. The opinions expressed in this report do not necessarily reflect the positions or policies of OERI or the Department of Education.

Title: Gifted but Learning Disabled: A Puzzling Paradox. ERIC Digest #E479. **Document Type:** Information Analyses---ERIC Information Analysis Products (IAPs) (071); Guides---Non-Classroom Use (055); Information Analyses---ERIC Digests (Selected) in Full Text (073);

Target Audience: Practitioners

Descriptors: Definitions, Educational Needs, Elementary Secondary Education, Gifted, Gifted Disabled, Handicap Identification, Intervention, Learning Disabilities, Learning

Strategies, Student Characteristics, Talent, Talent Identification

Identifiers: ERIC Digests

###



[Return to ERIC Digest Search Page]