QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ON IDEA PART B DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURES

Revised July 2013

Regulations for Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) were published in the *Federal Register* on August 14, 2006, and became effective on October 13, 2006. Supplemental IDEA regulations were published on December 1, 2008, and became effective on December 31, 2008. Since publication of the regulations, the Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS) in the U.S. Department of Education (Department) has received requests for clarification of some of these regulations. This is one of a series of question and answer (Q&A) documents prepared by OSERS to address some of the most important issues raised by requests for clarification on a variety of high-interest topics. Each Q&A document will be updated to add new questions and answers as other important issues arise or to amend existing questions and answers as needed.

OSERS issues this Q&A document to provide parents, parent training and information centers, school personnel, State educational agencies (SEAs), local educational agencies (LEAs), advocacy organizations, and other interested parties with information to facilitate appropriate implementation of the IDEA dispute resolution procedures, including mediation, State complaint procedures, and due process complaint and due process hearing procedures. This Q&A document represents the Department's current thinking on these topics. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person. This guidance does not impose any requirements beyond those required under applicable law and regulations. Further, this document pertains only to IDEA Part B and is not meant to interpret Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990.

This Q&A document updates and revises, as appropriate, the Department's guidance, entitled *Questions and Answers on Procedural Safeguards and Due Process Procedures for Parents and Children with Disabilities* issued in January 2007 and revised in June 2009. This Q&A document also updates and revises the information and questions and answers contained in the following Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) Memoranda: 94-16, *Complaint Management Procedures Under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act - Public Law 101-476 (Part B)*, issued March 22, 1994; 00-20, *Complaint Resolution Procedures under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (Part B)*, issued July 17, 2000; and 01-5, *Questions and Answers on Mediation*, issued November 30, 2000. This Q&A document replaces the previously issued OSEP Memoranda and Q&A document.

Generally, the questions and corresponding answers presented in this Q&A document required an interpretation of the IDEA and its implementing regulations and the answers are not simply a restatement of the statutory or regulatory requirements. The responses presented in this

¹ For more information about these laws please contact the Office for Civil Rights Enforcement Office that serves your State. Contact information for these offices can be found at: http://wdcrobcolp01.ed.gov/CFAPPS/OCR/contactus.cfm

document generally are informal guidance representing the interpretation of the Department of the applicable statutory or regulatory requirements in the context of the specific facts presented and are not legally binding. However, where controlling case law on these issues exists in your jurisdiction, it generally would be legally binding. The Q&As in this document are not intended to be a replacement for careful study of the IDEA and its implementing regulations or of controlling case law. The IDEA, its implementing regulations, and other important documents related to the IDEA are found at http://idea.ed.gov.

If you are interested in commenting on this guidance, please email your comments to OSERSguidancecomments@ed.gov and include Dispute Resolution Procedures in the subject of your email or write us at the following address: Gregg Corr, U.S. Department of Education, Potomac Center Plaza, 550 12th Street, S.W., Room 4108, Washington, D.C. 20202.

CONTENTS

Α.	Mediation	1
	Question A-1:	What is mediation?1
	Question A-2:	Can OSEP provide a historical context for the mediation provisions in the IDEA statute and regulations?
	Question A-3:	What are the benefits of mediation?
	Question A-4:	Who are the parties to mediation? Can States offer mediation to individuals and
		organizations other than parents?
	Question A-5:	What is a mediator?
	Question A-6:	What are the types of issues that can be the subject of mediation?4
	Question A-7:	Under what circumstances does the IDEA require that mediation be made available to
		parents of parentally-placed private school children with disabilities?4
	Question A-8:	Under what circumstances do the Part B regulations prevent public agencies from using
		mediation?5
	Question A-9:	What are some similarities and differences between mediation and due process hearings?6
	Question A-10:	How long does the mediation process take?
	Question A-11:	Does the IDEA address where mediation sessions are held?
	Question A-12:	Who may participate in, or attend, the mediation session? May parents or public agencies
		bring their attorneys to mediation sessions and, if so, under what circumstances?
	Question A-13:	May a child with a disability who is the subject of the mediation process attend the mediation session with his or her parent?
	O	-
	Question A-14:	What options are available if a parent declines a public agency's request to engage in mediation?
	Question A-15:	May a State use IDEA funds for recruitment and training of mediators?9
		Who pays for the mediation process?
		How is a mediator selected? 10
		May more than one mediator be selected to conduct mediation under the IDEA?10
	Question A-19:	May current LEA employees serve as mediators?
	Question A-20:	Is it a conflict of interest if a mediator is paid by a State agency?
	Question A-21:	Does the IDEA address what would constitute effective mediation techniques?11
	Question A-22:	If the parties to the mediation process resolve their dispute, must the agreement reached
		by the parties be in writing?
	Question A-23:	Are discussions that occur in the mediation process automatically confidential or is the
		confidentiality of the mediation session a matter that must be mediated and documented as a part of the mediation agreement?
	Question A-24	Must a written mediation agreement be kept confidential?
	Question A-25:	
	Question A-23.	during the resolution of a State complaint?
\vdash	Question A-26:	May parties to the mediation process be required to sign a confidentiality pledge or
		agreement prior to, or as a precondition, to the commencement of the mediation process?13

	Question A-27:	May a State use nonjudicial mechanisms (e.g., State complaint procedures) to resolve
		allegations that the public agency did not implement a mediation agreement?
	Question A-28:	May a parent file a State complaint on matters that were not addressed in, or that arose
		after the time covered by, the mediation agreement?
В.	State Compla	aint Procedures15
	Question B-1:	Why are States required to have complaint procedures when the IDEA statute does not
		contain those procedures?
	Question B-2:	What are some differences between a State complaint and a due process complaint?16
	Question B-3:	Who may file a State complaint?
	Question B-4:	Are there any mechanisms that an SEA must provide to assist parents and other parties in filing a State complaint?
	Question B-5:	If a parent wishes to challenge a public agency's eligibility determination, may a parent
		file a State complaint?
	Question B-6:	How should an SEA resolve a State complaint challenging a public agency's eligibility determination?
	Question B-7:	If a parent wishes to challenge a public agency's decision regarding the provision or
	Quotion B 7.	denial of FAPE to a child with a disability, may a parent file a State complaint?
	Question B-8:	How should an SEA resolve a State complaint challenging a public agency's decision regarding the provision or denial of FAPE to a child with a disability?
	Question B-9:	May the State complaint procedures, including the remedies outlined in
		34 CFR §300.151(b), be used to address the problems of a group of children, i.e., a
		complaint alleging systemic noncompliance?
	Question B-10:	If there is a finding in a State complaint that a child or group of children has been denied FAPE, what are the remedies?
	Question B-11:	How does an SEA resolve a complaint when an organization or individual, other than a
		child's parent, files a State complaint regarding a specific child?
	Question B-12:	How does an SEA resolve a complaint against itself?
	Question B-13:	May States establish procedures permitting a State complaint to be filed electronically?22
	Question B-14:	Must States have procedures for tracking when State complaints are received, including State complaints filed electronically, if applicable?
	Ouestion B-15:	What is an SEA's responsibility to resolve a complaint if the complaint submitted to the
	Quotion B 10.	SEA does not include all of the content required in 34 CFR §300.153?
	Question B-16:	
		complainant did not include a proposed resolution of the problem?
	Question B-17:	
		provide a copy of the complaint to the LEA or public agency serving the child at the same time the complaint is filed with the SEA?
	Question B-18:	May a complaint be filed with an SEA over an alleged violation that occurred more than
	Question b 10.	one year prior to the date of the complaint?
	Question B-19:	Does an SEA have the option to accept and resolve complaints alleging violations of the
		IDEA that occurred more than one year prior to the SEA's receipt of the complaint?
L		What is the SEA's responsibility if such a procedure is permitted?
	Question B-20:	Must an SEA conduct an independent on-site investigation for every complaint filed?27

	Question B-21:	When can the SEA extend the 60-day time limit for resolution of a State complaint? Can	
		OSEP identify examples of situations when States have not been permitted to extend the	
		60-day complaint resolution time limit due to exceptional circumstances?	27
	Question B-22:	Must an SEA make mediation available when a State complaint is filed?	28
	Question B-23:	What are the procedures related to an extension of the time limit for resolving a State	
		complaint when the parties are engaged in mediation?	28
	Question B-24:	If the complainant is a party other than a parent, may the parties use the mediation	
		process to attempt to resolve the issues in the State complaint?	29
	Question B-25:	<u>C</u>	
			29
	Question B-26:		
		complaint requesting a due process hearing?	29
	Question B-27:		
		parent request a due process hearing pending resolution of the State complaint?	30
	Question B-28:	May a State complaint be filed on an issue that was previously decided in a due process	
		hearing?	30
	Question B-29:		
		public agency has failed to implement a hearing officer's decision?	
	Question B-30:	Once an SEA resolves a State complaint, what must the SEA's written decision contain?	31
	Question B-31:	What is the SEA's responsibility after a written decision on a State complaint is issued?	31
	Question B-32:	May a State complaint decision be appealed?	31
	Question B-33:	Is a State required to make written decisions on State complaints available to the public?	32
	Question B-34:	When did the Department remove the Secretarial review provision from the Part B	
		regulations? Is an SEA required to develop a process to replace Secretarial review?	32
C.	Due Process	Complaints and Due Process Hearing Procedures	.34
	Question C-1:	Why does the IDEA require that a party file a due process complaint in order to request a	
		due process hearing?	34
	Question C-2:	Who may file a due process complaint?	34
	Question C-3:	What happens after a due process complaint is submitted?	35
	Question C-4:	What happens if a hearing officer determines that a due process complaint is insufficient?	36
	Question C-5:	What is the timeline for filing a due process complaint?	36
	Question C-6:	May States establish procedures permitting a due process complaint to be filed	
	Quotien o o.	electronically?	37
	Question C-7:	Must States have procedures for tracking when due process complaints are received,	
		including due process complaints filed electronically if a State accepts due process	
		complaints filed electronically?	38
	Question C-8:	Are there any mechanisms that an SEA must provide to assist parents and public agencies	
			38
	Question C-9:	May a parent file a due process complaint because his or her child's teacher is not highly	
			39
	Question C-10:	Under what circumstances does the IDEA permit parents of parentally-placed private	
		school children with disabilities to use IDEA's due process procedures?	39

	Question C-11:	Under what circumstances may a public agency use IDEA's due process procedures to	
		override a parent's refusal to consent?	40
	Question C-12:	If a parent wishes to obtain an independent educational evaluation (IEE) at public	
		expense pursuant to 34 CFR §300.502(b)(1), and the public agency believes that its	
		evaluation is appropriate, must the public agency file a due process complaint to request a	4.1
		due process hearing?	41
	Question C-13:	<u> </u>	
		parent revokes consent for the provision of special education and related services	
		pursuant to 34 CFR §300.9(c), may the other parent file a due process complaint to	41
		override the revocation of consent?	
		Does the IDEA address where due process hearings and reviews are held?	
		What requirements apply to the qualifications and impartiality of hearing officers?	42
	Question C-16:	Does the SEA have the authority to determine whether a due process complaint	
		constitutes a new issue compared to a previously adjudicated due process complaint	
		between the same parties?	42
	Question C-17:	May State law authorize the SEA to unilaterally dismiss or otherwise limit the issues that	
		can be the subject of a party's due process complaint?	43
	Question C-18:	Do hearing officers have jurisdiction over issues raised by either party during the	
	addition o 10.	prehearing or hearing which were not raised in the due process complaint?	43
	Question C-19:	Do hearing officers have the authority to raise and address issues of noncompliance that	
		were not raised by the parties?	43
	Question C-20:	Under what circumstances may a State prohibit hearing officers from reviewing the	
		appropriateness, and ordering the implementation of, settlement agreements reached	
		under the IDEA?	43
	Question C-21:	Once the 30-day resolution period or adjusted resolution period expires, what is the	
		timeline for issuing a final hearing decision?	44
	Question C-22:	When would it be permissible for a hearing officer to extend the 45-day timeline for	
		issuing a final decision in a due process hearing on a due process complaint or for a	
		reviewing officer to extend the 30-day timeline for issuing a final decision in an appeal to	
		the SEA, if applicable?	44
	Question C-23:	If an SEA contracts with another agency to conduct due process hearings on its behalf,	
	autotion o Lo.	can those decisions be appealed to the SEA?	44
	Question C-24:		
-	Question 6-24.	applicable time period to appeal the hearing decision has expired?	45
			43
	Question C-25:		
		fact and a decision in a due process hearing?	46
	Question C-26:	What is the SEA's responsibility after a due process hearing decision is issued?	46
	Question C-27:	Which public agency is responsible for transmitting the findings and decisions in a	
		hearing to the State advisory panel (SAP) and making those findings and decisions	
		available to the public?	47
D.	Resolution P	rocess	.49
	Question D-1:	What is the purpose of the resolution meeting?	
	Question D-2:	Why is a resolution meeting not required when an LEA files a due process complaint?	
	1 ~ 4 4 4 5 1 5 1 5 2 .	17 15 a resolution meeting not required when an EE/1 mes a due process complaint:	50

	Question D-3:	Does the parent still have the right to challenge the sufficiency of the due process	
		complaint when an LEA files a due process complaint? Must the parent respond to the	
		LEA's due process complaint?	50
	Question D-4:	If a due process complaint is amended and the 15-day timeline to conduct a resolution	
		meeting starts over, must the LEA conduct another resolution meeting?	51
	Question D-5:	If a parent files a due process complaint with the LEA or public agency but does not	
	Question D-3.	forward a copy of the due process complaint to the SEA, when does the timeline for	
		convening a resolution meeting begin?	51
	0 1: 5.0		
	Question D-6:	Are there circumstances in which an LEA would not be required to convene a resolution	- 50
		meeting when it receives notice of a parent's due process complaint?	52
	Question D-7:	Does the timeline for a due process hearing decision always begin after the 30-day	
		resolution period?	52
	Question D-8:	Which individuals participate in the resolution meeting?	53
	Question D-9:	May the LEA bring its attorney to a resolution meeting when the parent is accompanied	
		by a non-attorney or qualified representative or advocate with the authority under State law to represent the parent at a due process hearing?	53
			55
	Question D-10:	Must an LEA include the days when schools are closed due to scheduled breaks and	
		holidays in calculating the timeline for convening a resolution meeting?	53
	Question D-11:	What is an LEA's responsibility to convene a resolution meeting when the parent cannot	
		attend within the 15-day timeline?	54
	Question D-12:	Must the LEA continue its attempts to convince a parent to participate in a resolution	
	Quotion D 12.	meeting throughout the 30-day resolution period?	54
	Overtion D 10:		
	Question D-13:	If a party fails to participate in the resolution meeting, must the other party seek the hearing officer's intervention to address the pending due process hearing on the parent's	
			55
	0 11 5 11	1	
	Question D-14:	If a party fails to participate in the resolution meeting, and neither party seeks the hearing	
		officer's intervention to address the pending due process complaint, would the timeline for a due process hearing decision still apply?	5.5
			55
	Question D-15:		
		process requirements?	55
	Question D-16:	May an LEA require a parent to sign a confidentiality agreement as a precondition to	
		conducting a resolution meeting?	56
	Question D-17:	Are there any provisions in the IDEA that require discussions that occur in resolution	
	Quotien B 111	meetings to remain confidential?	57
	Ougstion D 10.		
	Question D-16.	Do the Part B regulations allow information discussed at a resolution meeting to be introduced at a due process hearing or civil proceeding?	57
			37
	Question D-19:	<u> </u>	
		settlement agreement be signed and executed by the parties prior to the conclusion of the	
		30-day resolution period?	5/
	Question D-20:		
		execute a written settlement agreement, what happens to the due process complaint?	58
	Question D-21:	How can written settlement agreements reached through IDEA's resolution process be	
		enforced if a party believes the agreement is not being implemented?	58
	Question D-22:		
	QUESTION D-22.	be available?	58
l			20

	Question D-23:	Does the 30-day resolution period apply if the parties elect to use mediation under	
		34 CFR §300.506 rather than convene a resolution meeting?	
	Question D-24:	What is the impact of mediation on the resolution and due process hearing timelines?	59
	Question D-25:	If the LEA and parents wish to continue the mediation process at the conclusion of the	
		30-day resolution period must the hearing officer agree to the extension in order for the	
		parties to continue the mediation process?	59
Ε.	Expedited Du	ue Process Hearings	60
	Question E-1:	What is an expedited due process hearing?	
	Question E-2:	What is the hearing officer's authority in an expedited due process hearing?	61
	Question E-3:	How is the timeline for conducting an expedited due process hearing calculated? Does	
		this timeline begin after the resolution period?	61
	Question E-4:	May the parties mutually agree to extend the resolution period to resolve an expedited	
		due process complaint?	62
	Question E-5:	How must SEAs and LEAs apply the timeline requirements for expedited due process	
		hearings if the due process complaint is filed when school is not in session?	62
	Question E-6:	May a party challenge the sufficiency of a due process complaint requesting an expedited	
		May a party challenge the sufficiency of a due process complaint requesting an expedited due process hearing?	63
	Question E-7:	May a hearing officer extend the timeline for making a determination in an expedited due	
		process hearing?	
	Question E-8:	How can the parties meet the requirement in 34 CFR §300.512(b) to disclose evaluations	
		and recommendations to all parties at least five business days before an expedited due	
		process hearing begins?	63
	Question E-9:	May a school district proceed directly to court for a temporary injunction to remove a	
		student from his or her current educational placement for disciplinary reasons or must the	
		school district exhaust administrative remedies by first filing a due process complaint to	
		request an expedited due process hearing?	64