# Index

"Split between circuits," 15	Audio recording device, 63
A.P., IV ("LT") v. Lewis Palmer Sch. Dist. 38, 65	Autism Spectrum Disorder, 57, 83, 86, 90, 123, See also
ABA. See Applied Behavior Analysis	Asperger's Syndrome
Abuse	impaired social skills, 52
by teacher, 127	Autism supplement, 112
injury due to negligence, 116	Avaras v. Clarkstown Cent. Sch. Dist., 41, 121
Accommodations, 63, 122	Avila v. Spokane Sch. Dist., 43, 128
cost, 56	Barnwell v. Watson, 880 F.3d 998, 23, 52
reasonable, 95, 97	Batchelor v. Rose Tree Media School District, 759 F.3d 266
reasonable v. unreasonable, 56	(3d Cir. 2014), 53
service dog, 95	Behavior
ADA, 79, 96	as "manifestation" of child's disabilities, 65
'full and equal enjoyment' of public accommodations,	disruptive, 103
96	methods to correct, 117
demonstrable benefit, 65	problems, 119
qualified individual, 64	Behavior Intervention Plan (BIP), 72, 85
Requirements for Service Animals, 97	Berardelli v. Allied Services Institute of Rehab. Med. (dePau
see also Section 504/ADA, 96	School), 900 F.3d 104, 35, 95
ADHD, 52, 91	BG, by JAG v. Board of Education of Chicago, 901 F.3d 903,
After school care, 86	38, 110
AH v. Illinois High Sch. Assoc., 881 F.3d 587, 24, 55	Bill of Rights, 3
Americans with Disabilities Act. See ADA	Body sock, 116
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), 8	Breach of implied covenant of good faith, 75
Anchorage Sch. Dist. v. M.G., 37, 108	Bullying
Appeals	by classmates, 65
of decisions in special ed cases, 109	child with autism, 52
submit additional evidence, 126	depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, 65
submit new evidence, 114	failure to protect disabled child, 65
Applied Behavior Analysis, 49	school liability for child's suicide, 52
one-on-one, 60	unremediated teasing by other students can deny a
	FAPE, 84
purpose, 49 services, 115	•
<i>,</i>	Burke v. Hillsborough County Sch. Bd., 39, 114
Asperger's Syndrome, 88	Burlington/Carter test, 121, 122
processing disorder, 63	Burnett v. San Mateo Foster City Sch. Dist., 30, 81
Attorney's fees, 70, 99	Carter cases, 15
award mistaken, 131	Cases
community lacks qualified attorneys, 126	1st Circuit
denied, 112	Maine
inefficient litigation, 124	Pollack v. Regional Sch. Unit 75, 26, 63
limited access to legal representation, 125	Richard v. Regional Sch. Dist. #57, 37, 106
lodestar, 126	Massachusetts
reduced, 124	Johnson v. Boston Pub. Sch., 40, 118
reduced as untimely, 83	Rhode Island
reversed, 129	J.S. v. Westerly School District, 44, 129
to parents' atty, 123	K.L. v. Rhode Island Bd. Ed., 41, 120
to prevailing party, 71, 83, 125	2nd Circuit
unreasonably protracted proceedings, 124	Connecticut
Attorneys, school	Mr. P. v. W. Hartford Bd. Ed., 26, 63
questionable tactics, 123	New York
unnecessarily prolonged litigation, 123	Avaras v. Clarkstown Cent. Sch. Dist., 41, 121

F.L. v. Great Neck Bd. Ed., 37, 109	8th Circuit
J.R. v. NYC DOE, 39, 115	Arkansas
Mamaroneck Union Bd. Ed. v. JD, 40, 119	Barnwell v. Watson, 23, 52
North Rockland Cent. Sch. Dist. v. C.M., 34, 94	Paris Sch. Dist. v. Cyndi Harter, 31, 82
Toth v. NYC DOE, 22, 47	Parrish v. Bentonville Sch. Dist., 33, 90
3rd Circuit	Iowa
New Jersey	Nelson v. Charles City Comm. Sch. Dist., 53, 97
E.I.H. v. Fair Lawn Bd. Ed., 38, 111	Missouri
MM v. Paterson Bd. Ed., 28, 73	Smith v. Rockwood R-VI Sch. Dist, 32, 85
SD v. Hadden Heights Bd. Ed, 23, 53	9th Circuit
Pennsylvania	Alaska
Berardelli v. Allied Services Institute of Rehab.	Anchorage Sch. Dist. v. M.G., 37, 108
Med. (dePaul School), 35, 95	California
Culley v. Cumberland Valley Sch. Dist., 45, 132	Burnett v. San Mateo Foster City Sch. Dist., 30, 81
Dunn v. Downington, 39, 113	E.F. v. Newport Mesa, 25, 62
ER v. Stroudsburg Sch. Dist., 43, 127	Garedakis v. Brentwood Union Sch. Dist., 29, 77
JL v. Wyoming Valley West Sch. Dist., 25, 59	Palacios v. East Whittier City Sch. Dist., 28, 73
Luo v. Owen Roberts Sch. Dist., 29, 75	Quatro v. Tehachapi Unif. Sch. Dist., 42, 125
Philadelphia Sch. Dist. v. Kirsch, 24, 57	Wright v. Tehachapi Unif. Sch. Dist., 42, 125
Rena C. v. Colonial Sch. Dist., 27, 70	Hawaii
S.C. v. Oxford Area Sch. Dist, 41, 122 S.P. & Joseph P. v. Pennsylvania Dept. Ed., 27, 68	Greenberg v. Hawaii SEA, 31, 82
	JM ex. el. Mandeville v. Hawaii DOE and
4th Circuit	Matayoshi, 31, 83
Maryland	Kauhako v. Hawaii Bd. of Ed., 34, 93
EP v. Howard County Public School System, 29, 78	Montana
T.B. v. Prince George's County Bd. of Ed., 33, 91	J.K. v. Missoula County Pub. Sch., 25, 62
North Carolina	Nevada
ZG v. Pamlico Public Schools, 33, 87	Soto v. Clark County Sch. Dist., 44, 129
5th Circuit	Washington
Louisiana	Avila v. Spokane Sch. Dist., 43, 128
Rayborn v. Bossier Parish Sch. Bd., 24, 54	R.Z.C. v. Northshore Sch. Dist, 44, 131
Texas	10th Circuit
E.R. v. Spring Branch Indep. Sch. Dist., 43, 126	Colorado
Krawietz v. Galveston ISD, 36, 99	A.P., IV ("LT") v. Lewis Palmer Sch. Dist. #38, 26,
Lauren C. v. Lewisville Indep. Sch. Dist., 38, 112	65
Shaikh v. Texas A&M University College of	New Mexico
Medicine, 30, 79	Jackson v. Los Lunas Comm. Prog., NM Public
Texas Education Agency v. US Dept. of Education,	Education, NM Dept. Health, 23, 50
42, 124	11th Circuit
6th Circuit	Florida
Michigan	Burke v. Hillsborough County Sch. Bd., 39, 114
Somberg v. Utica Comm. Sch. Dist., 42, 123	LMP v. Broward County, 22, 49
Ohio	Prunty v. Desoto County Sch. Bd., 29, 76
Crochran v. Columbus City Schools, 40, 116	Georgia
Ruhl v. Ohio Health Dept, 25, 60	Durbrow v. Cobb County Sch. Dist, 27, 66
Tennessee	DC Circuit
F.C. v. Tennessee DOE, 36, 100	DC
I.L. Taylor v. TN DOE, 30, 80	ZB v. District of Columbia, 27, 69
L.H. v. Hamilton County Dept. Ed., 36, 102	Sup.Ct of NY
MG v. Williamson County Schools, 22, 47	New York
Sophie G. v. Wilson County Schools, 32, 86	Center for Discovery v. NYC DOE, 28, 71
7th Circuit	Center for Discovery v. NYC DOE, 28, 71
Illinois	Charter school, 68
AH v. Illinois High Sch. Assoc., 24, 55	Child care program, 86
BG, by JAG v. Board of Education of Chicago, 901	Child Find, 99
F.3d 903, 38, 110	failure to fulfill duty, 99, 133
	school district violated obligations, 134
	violation, 48

Circuit Court Split, 15	monetary, 94, 115
Citations	Davis v. Monroe Cnty. Bd. of Educ, 53
USC for 504, ADA, ESSA, FERPA, McKinney-Vento, 4	Dear Colleague Letter
Claims	Responding to Bullying of Students with Disabilities
ADA and 504, 77	(October 21, 2014), 84
additional, 127	Deference, 91
child sexual abuse, 77	dePaul School, 95
conspiracy, 77	Diabetic student
denial of FAPE, 60, 91, 114	school failed to create 504 plan. See also Section 504
denied FAPE, 115	Diapering, 86
failed to exhaust Section 504 and ADA, 68	Disagreement between IHO SRO
negligence, 60	defer to final decision of state, 110
of negligence in state court, 117	Disciplinary incidents, 132
overblown & unreliable, 105	Discipline, 85
retaliation, 101	incident, 132
under 504 / ADA, 66	Discrete Trial Training ("DTT"), 49
under other federal laws protecting children w	Discrimination, 87
disabilities, 99	'sole reason' the College denied applications for
untimely, 94	readmission, 80
violation of parent's constitutional right to manage care	accommodation-based claim of, 64
of children, 77	disability, 85, 86
Class action, 50, 120	disability-based, 66
Cochlear implant, 118	laws, 96
Community college, 98	proof of causation, 56
Compensatory education, 47, 101	school failed to protect child from bullying, 52
court orders 500 hours, 134	Disorders
expert witness testimony, 124	ADHD, 52, 65, 87, 113, 126, 134
parental request for, 63	Arthrogryposis Multiplex Congenital, 100
tutoring, 123	Asperger's Syndrome, 52, 63, 88
Complaint	Autism Spectrum, 60, 63, 87, 123
alleged spec ed program inadequate, did not provide	behavioral and emotional, 119
FAPE, 122	blindness, 108
amended, 101	cognitive, 60, 63
dismissed for failure to exhaust, 88	deafness, 118
due process, 61, 94, 101, 130, 132	depression, 65, 91, 97
due process hearing, 119	depression and anxiety, 52
gravamen, 53	developmental, 60
procedural defects, 101	developmental delay, 86, 126
request a due process hearing, 122	diabetes, 54
resolution procedure in TN, 81	Down Syndrome, 102
resolve allegations that FAPE denied, 81	dysgraphia, 131
to challenge IEP, 104	dyslexia, 95, 113
Complaint resolution procedure, 80	epilepsy, 95, 111
Consent decree, 51	executive function impairments, 113
,	
Constitutional Law, 3	hearing loss, 73
Counseling services, 119	hormonal medical condition (PCOS), 97
Courts of Appeals	impulse control, 65
list of circuits / states, 13	intellectual disability, 108
Court orders, 123	Landau-Kleffner Syndrome, 63
award tuition reimbursement, 58	language impairment, 118
Courts	life-threatening seizures, 126
U.S. Courts of Appeals	Lyme Disease, 129
Table of States covered by each, 13	memory impairment, 113
U.S. District Courts, 12	obsessive-compulsive, 123
Crochran v. Columbus City Schools, 40, 116	post-traumatic stress, 65
Crohn's disease, 132	severe anxiety, 92
Culley v. Cumberland Valley Sch. Dist., 45, 132	specific learning disability, 131, 133
Damages, 65, 85	Tourette's, 123

Dispute	insufficient, 92
about child's diagnosis, 113	retrospective, 120
about provision of a FAPE, 126	submit additional, 126
about right to a FAPE, 89	supplement the record, 47
placement, 71	Evidence based programs, 114
Dispute Resolution, 114	Exhaustion of administrative remedies, 5, 71, 86, 90, 127
due process hearing, 80	before bringing lawsuit, 89
nonadversarial, 80	exceptions, 74
District Court	required, 101
erred in not admitting additional evidence, 126	Expulsion, 85, 132
Do Not Publish, 7	failed to determine if misbehavior was a
Documentation, 96	"manifestation" of child's disabilities, 65
Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment	reversal of, 65
violation of rights, 79	wrongful, 65
Due process complaint, 91, 101, 130	Extended School Year, see ESY, 109
Due process hearing, 80, 82, 112, 122, 129	F.C. v. Tennessee DOE, 36, 100
after statute of limitations had run, 129	F.L. v. Great Neck Bd. Ed., 37, 109
against parents, 127	Failure to
how to appeal decision, 129	accommodate, 96
no documentary evidence provided, 119	assess child for autism, 129
school terminated child's eligibity, 131	evaluate for special education eligibility, 88, 91
testimony, 119	exhaust §504 and ADA claims, 68
Dunn v. Downington, 904 F.3d 248, 39, 113	identify, locate, and evaluate, 67
Durbrow v. Cobb County Sch. Dist., 887 F. 3d 1182, 27, 66	state an IDEA claim, 91
Dyslexia, 95	Failure to exhaust administrative remedies, 53, 60, 64, 66
E.F. v. Newport Mesa, 25, 62	73, 76, 77, 85, 86, 91, 97, 127, 129
E.I.H. v. Fair Lawn Bd. Ed., 38, 111	bars judicial review of §504 and ADA claims, 68
E.R. v. Spring Branch Indep. Sch. Dist., 909 F.3d 754, 43, 126	Family Education Rights and Privacy Act. See FERPA
Education records, 9	FAPE
Effective communications regulation, 65	decided by administrative law judge, 80
Eligibility, 112, 129, 131	denial, 53, 60, 63, 74, 80, 100
for related services, 47	denied b/c district refused to provide specific private
for special education services, 73, 81, 99, 122, 130, 131,	reading svcs requested by parent, 109
133	denied because district refused Extended School Year
Emotional support classroom, 122	services, 109
Endrew F., 113, 119, 123	failure to provide, 94
did not overrule 3rd Circuit precedent, 114	IEP provided, 113
established standard for FAPE, 84	meaningful-benefit test, 114
raised the bar, 69	purusant to <i>Endrew F.</i> , prior rulings not valid, 113
ruling by SCOTUS, 62	school failed to provide, 122
special education 'reasonably calculated to enable a	school raised to provide, 122 school nurse required svc on bus, 111
child to make progress in light of the child's	states provide until 22nd birthday, 121
circumstances', 69	under IDEA, 8
EP v. Howard County Public School System, 29, 78	under IDEA, 8 under Section 504, 8
Epilepsy, 95	Federal Question, 12, 109
Equal Protection Clause (14th Amendment), 4	, ,
ER v. Stroudsburg Sch. Dist., 43, 127	Federal Reporter, 6
Evaluations	Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, 13, 47 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 13
appropriate, 78	Federalism concerns, 51
• • • •	,
court ordered, 130	FERPA
failure to conduct, 62, 99	education records, 9
must, 73	Florence County Sch. Dist. IV v. Carter, 15
parent requested, 91	Food Allergy Network (FARE), 112
Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), 9	Free appropriate public education
Evidence	impact of medical condition(s), 111
contemporaneous, 120	Fry v. Napoleon, 53, 60, 85, 87, 90, 99
fail to provide, 115	Garedakis v. Brentwood Union Sch. Dist., 29, 77
inadequate, 117	General Equivalency Diploma, 98

	unilaterally ordered child transferred to segregated
Google Scholar, 7	classroom, 103
how to use, 17	Illinois High School Association
Gravamen	Accommodation Policy for Students with Disabilities, 55
of complaint, 53, 77, 86, 87	purposes, 55
of malicious abuse of process claim, 76	Inclusion, 50, 102
Greenberg v. Hawaii SEA, 31, 82	Independent Educational Evaluation (IEE), 68, 75, 110, 112
Harassment	118, 131
(1.15.11	parents obtained, 91
'bad faith or gross misconduct' standard, 53	pervasive impact of disability, 133
'deliberate indifference' standard, 53	to determine child's needs, 75
Hendrick Hudson Dist. Bd. of Ed. v. Rowley, 458 US 176,	Individualized Education Program. See IEP
50,62	Individualized Health Care Plan (IHCP), 111
Hearing impairment	Individualized Health Plan (IHP), 111
adversely affects educational performance, 73	Individuals with Disabilities Education Act. See IDEA, 7
Hearing officer	Injuries
	'non-educational in nature', 117
dispute about findings, rulings, decisions, 82	Involuntary commitment, 88, 89
erred by placing unnecessarily high burden on parents,	J.K. v. Missoula County Pub. Sch., 25, 62
133	I.L. ex rel. Taylor v. TN DOE, 30, 80
Hearing Officer v. Review Officer	J.R. v. NYC DOE, 39, 115
conflicting decisions, 115	J.S. v. Westerly School District, 44, 129
Homeless children, 9	Jackson v. Los Lunas Comm. Prog., NM Public Education,
Horace Mann School for the Deaf, 118	NM Dept. Health, 880 F.3d 1176, 23, 50
Hostile educational environment, 78	JL v. Wyoming Valley West Sch. Dist., 25, 59
IDEA	JM ex. el. Mandeville v. Hawaii DOE and Matayoshi, 31, 83
	Johnson v. Boston Pub. Sch., 906 F.3d 182, 40, 118
definitions, 7	K.L. v. Rhode Island Bd. Ed., 41, 120
exhaustion requirements described, 66	Kauhako v. Hawaii Bd. of Ed., 34, 93
Part C procedural requirements, 61	Krawietz v. Galveston ISD, 900 F.3d 673, 36, 99
purposes, 7	L.H. v. Hamilton County Dept. Ed., 900 F.3d 779, 36, 102
requires "maintenance of state financial support", 124	Labeling, 112
timeline to request a due process heaing, 94	Lauren C. v. Lewisville Indep. Sch. Dist., 904 F.3d 363, 38,
violation of, 64	112
IEP, 119	Least restrictive environment
academic goals not connected to reg ed standards, 104	see LRE, 102
challenge on substantive grounds, 50	Legal citations, 6
child's entitlement to ends, 63	Legal decisions
draft, 31, 57	"Do Not Publish", 7
educational goals, 91	"exceptions to the general rule", 6
failure to develop in timely manner, 108	Legal interpretations, 5
failure to implement, 108	Legal proof
failure to provide FAPE, 123	insufficiency of, 93
failure to provide sufficient services, 114	Legal relationship between parents & SEA
failure to timely develop, 100	material alteration in, 68
inadequate, 63, 122	Legal relationship between parents and school
must be evaluated at time of drafting, 120	material alteration in, 100
must include nurse as related service, 111	Letter
not 'reasonably calculated to confer a benefit', 119	10 day notice hand-delivered by parents, 57
parent excluded from process, 77	from TN DOE, 80
parents	Litigation
agreed w/goals, accomms, services, 112	fees and costs, 51
parents were dissatisfied, 113	LMP v. Broward County, 879 F.3d 1274, 22, 49
planning document w/ goals & objectives, 102	Lodestar. See Attorney's fees
required parent to take parent training, 75	,
school failed to complete at beginning of school year, 58	LRE
substantive educational benefits, 104	extent that a disabled student can be mainstreamed,
substantive v. procedural violations, 124	not segregated, 104
tracked graduation requirements, 63	IDEA definition of, 102

Luo v. Owen Roberts Sch. Dist., 29, 75	motion for summary judgment, 13
Magistrate Judge, 13	removal of case from state court, 12
Mainstreamed, 102, 104	Pleadings
sch staff reject b/c believe impossible, 105	sufficiency, 101
Mainstreaming. See Inclusion and Least restrictive	sufficiency of, 100
environment (LRE)	Policy guidance letters
Malicious abuse of process, 75	not legally binding', 84
Mamaroneck Union Bd. Ed. v. JD, 40, 119	Pollack v. Regional Sch. Unit 75, 886 F.3d 75, 26, 63
Manifestation determination hearing, 85	Post-secondary special ed plan
McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act, 9	parents disputed, 63
Measure progress of students on IEPs, 62	Preliminary injunctive relief, 73
Medical student	Prevailing party, 83, 100, 112, 125
discrimination, 79	Prior Written Notice, 48, 128
Medications	Private placement
negative impact on learning, 126	is "current educational placement" during pendency of
MG v. Williamson County Schools, 22, 47	proceedings, 84
MM v. Paterson Bd. Ed., 28, 73	Procedural safeguards, 8, 98
Montessori School, 104	Under IDEA, 8
Moot, 47, 81, 122, 130	Procedural Safeguards and Due Process Procedures, 129
parents moved from district, 130	Procedural violations
Move out of district	did not result in denial of FAPE, 92
impact of, 115	if child not eligible, not denied FAPE, 81
Mr. P. v. W. Hartford Bd. Ed., 885 F.3d 735,26, 63	loss of educational opportunities, 81
Nelson v. Charles City Comm. Sch. Dist., 35, 97	loss of educational opportunities, 48
Nonverbal, 59	no remedies, 91
North Rockland Cent. Sch. Dist. v. C.M., 34, 94	Promotion to high school, 74
Notice of Recommended Educational Placement (NOREP)	
	Prunty v. Desoto County Sch. Bd., 29, 76
school failed to provide, 57	Quatro v. Tehachapi Unif. Sch. Dist, 42, 125
NYCDE ordered school to amend child's IEP but refused to	Rayborn v. Bossier parish Sch. Bd., 24, 54
	R.Z.C. v. Northshore Sch. Dist., 44, 131
reimburse for svcs, 71	Regulations
Office of Civil Rights, 65	'reasonable modification' requirement, 96
Open enrollment, 97	Reimbursement
Palacios v. East Whittier City Sch. Dist., 28, 73	for additional services, 72
Parent blaming, 92	for tuition, 105, 113, 119
Parents	Related services, 47, 111
strong stated desire that child be 'mainstreamed', 103	Relief, 100
Paris Sch. Dist. v. Cyndi Harter, 894 F.3d 885, 31, 82	compensatory education, 47, 92, 101, 124
Parrish v. Bentonville Sch. Dist., 33, 90	factual circumstances changed, 51
Pennsylvania Human Relations Act (PHRA), 96	for denial of FAPE, 99
PGA Tour v. Martin, 532 U.S. 661 (2001), 56	for denial of right to FAPE under IDEA, 60
Philadelphia Sch. Dist. v. Kirsch, 24, 57	monetary damages, 115
Picture Exchange Communication System ('PECS'). See also	reimbursement for the IEE, 92
Applied Behavior Analysis	Remedy
Placement	compensatory education services, 61
in private program, 118	Removal
in segregated classroom more restrictive than needed,	to federal court, 83, 108
102	Removing state court case to federal court, 12
isolated, self-contained, 103	Rena C. v. Colonial Sch. Dist., 890 F.3d 404, 27, 70
private, 119	Request for special education services, 91
private school, 114	Restraints, 116
residential	Retaliation
failure to select safe, appropriate, 108	against school nurse, 55
school team predetermined, 115	Retention
stay-put, 108	over parent's objections, 74
unilateral self-contained over parents' objections, 103	Retrospective testimony
Pleading and Practice	may not be considered, 120
federal guestion, 12	Reverse due process case, 127

Richard v. Regional Sch. Dist. #57, 37, 106	Sign-supported spoken English, 118
Rowley, 50, 62. See Hendrick Hudson Dist. Bd. of Ed. v.	Single-tier states, 12, 109
Rowley, 458 US 176	Smith v. Rockwood R-VI Sch. Dist., 895 F.3d 566, 32, 85
Ruhl v. Ohio Health Dept., 25, 60	Somberg v. Utica Comm. Sch. Dist., 908 F.3d 162, 42, 123
Rule 59 motion, 77	Sophie G. v. Wilson County Schools, 32, 86
Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States, 14	Soto v. Clark County Sch. Dist., 44, 129
S.C. v. Oxford Area Sch. Dist., 41, 122	Specific learning disabilities, 133
S.P. & Joseph P. v. Pennsylvania Dept. Ed., 27, 68	Spending Clause, 125
Shaikh v. Texas A&M University College of Medicine, 79	Split between circuits, 15
Schaffer v. Weast, 546 U.S. 49, 62 (2005), 85	Standard
School district	bad faith or gross misconduct, 53
derelict in its duty to timely develop an IEP, 100	deliberate indifference, 53
School nurse	State DOE
must be included in child's IEP, 111	failed to provide complaint resolution procedure, 80
required for child to gain access to FAPE, 111	State law
required to administer medication, 111	inconsistent with federal law, 120
retaliation by district, 54	State statutes and regulations, 5
SCOTUS	Statute of Limitations, 62, 94, 128
Petition for Certiorari, 84	timeline for claims under Section 504, 94
SD v. Hadden Heights Bd. Ed, 23, 53	timeline under IDEA, 94
Section 504, 85	Stay put preliminary injunction, 58
'meaningful access' to federally funded programs, 96	Stay-put
	child entitled to remain in 'then-current educational
civil rights law, 7 purposes, 7	placement' until IDEA claim resolved, 108
violations	
	Stay-put placement, 108
school staff failed to protect bullied child, 52	Stay-put statute
Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. See Section 504.	defined & described, 108
Section 504 Plan, 66, 132	Suicide, 52
denied educational opportunities, 54	bulling by classmates, 54
school failed to prepare, 88	bullying by classmates, 52
Section 504/ADA, 86, 87, 116	Supremacy Clause, 4, 5, 15
accomodations, 63	T.B. v. Prince George's County Bd. of Ed., 897 F.3d 566, 33,
claims, 77	91
discrimination, 56	Testimony
discrimination claims, 79	failed to provide, 92
higher education discrimination case, 79	Texas
interplay, 96	"weighted-student model" allowed state to reduce
lawsuit, 98	financial support, 125
provide procedural safeguards, 112	Texas A&M University College of Medicine, 79
reasonable accommodations, 55	Texas Education Agency (TEA)
requirements for 'reasonable accommodations,' or	maintenance of financial support, 124
'reasonable modifications', 96	Texas Education Agency v. US Dept. of Education, 42, 124
right to independence and full inclusion, 96	The Perkins School for the Blind, 108
school liable for bullied child's suicide, 52	Tiered States - Single or Two, 12, 109
service dog, 95	Toilet trained, 86
timeline to make claims, 94	Toth v. NYC DOE, 22, 47
violations, 60, 64, 101, 127, 129	Tourette's Disorder, 123
See also Section 504, Discrimination".	Toxic relationship, 123
Seizure dog, 95	Track and field events
Service animal regulations, 96, 97	reasonable accommodations for child with disability, 55
Service dog, 95	Transfer out of district
accommodation, 95	impact of, 114
Settlement agreement, 129	Transition planning, 123
Settlement offer	Truancy
parent rejection of, 70	charges, 97
reduce atty's fees, 99	mediation, 97
Sexual abuse claims	Tucker v. Bay Shore Union Free School Dist., 15
reversed dismisal of, 78	Tuition reimbursement, 57, 113, 115, 119, 126

Two-tier states, 12, 109
U.S. Department of Education
investigation of TN DOE, 81
withheld 33 million from Texas, 124
Unique Learning System (ULS), 104
United States Medical Licensing Examination, 79
Unreasonable searches & seizures

applies to conduct by school officials, 116
Wright v. Tehachapi Unif. Sch. Dist., 42, 125
Wrightslaw Case of the Year, 30, 32, 35, 36, 42, 79, 86, 95, 102, 124
Writ of Certiorari, 14
ZB v. Dist. of Columbia, 888 F.3d 515, 27, 69
ZG v. Pamlico Public Schools, 33, 87