

1 PETER W. ALFERT, SBN 83139  
HINTON ALFERT & KAHN LLP  
2 200 Pringle Ave., Suite 450  
Walnut Creek, California 94596  
3 Telephone: (925) 279-3009  
Facsimile: (925) 279-3342

4 TODD BOLEY, SBN 64119  
5 1212 Broadway, 16th Floor  
Oakland, CA 94612  
6 Telephone: (510) 836-4500  
Facsimile: (510) 649-5170

7 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 LARRY EVANS, MEGAN EVANS, M.E., a  
11 minor by and through his guardian ad litem  
LARRY EVANS, TERESA GREEN, A.S., a  
12 minor by and through his guardian ad litem  
TERESA GREEN, HEATHER CARRANZA,  
13 L.C., a minor by and through her guardian ad  
litem HEATHER CARRANZA, MICHELE  
14 SMITH, M.M., a minor by and through his  
guardian ad litem MICHELE SMITH,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 ANTIOCH UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,  
18 THERESA ALLEN-CAULBOY, MICHAEL  
GREEN, DAVID WAX, KEITH ROGENSKI,  
19 KAI MONTGOMERY, and DOES 1-30,

20 Defendants.

No. C-13-1476-LB-ARB

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR  
DAMAGES**

[JURY DEMANDED]

21 Plaintiffs LARRY EVANS, MEGAN EVANS and M.E., a minor by and through his  
22 guardian ad litem LARRY EVANS (hereinafter "M.E."), TERESA GREEN and A.S., a minor by  
23 and through his guardian ad litem TERESA GREEN (hereinafter "A.S."), HEATHER  
24 CARRANZA and L.C., a minor by and through her guardian ad litem HEATHER CARRANZA  
25 (hereinafter "L.C."), MICHELE SMITH and M.M., a minor by and through his guardian ad litem  
26 MICHELE SMITH (hereinafter "M.M."), allege as follows:

27 ///

28 ///

1 **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

2 1. Jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' federal law claims is founded upon 28 U.S.C. § 1331 [federal  
3 question jurisdiction] and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(a)(3) [federal civil rights jurisdiction]. All claims for  
4 violation of Plaintiffs' rights under the laws and the constitution of the United States are brought  
5 pursuant to 42 U.S. C. § 1983.

6 **INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT**

7 2. This case arose in Contra Costa County, California and, pursuant to Rule 3-3(c) of  
8 the Local Rules of the Northern District of California, should be assigned to either the San  
9 Francisco or Oakland Division of the Northern District.

10 **PARTIES**

11 3. Plaintiff LARRY EVANS is a resident of the City of Antioch, County of Contra  
12 Costa, California. He brings this action on his own behalf and as guardian ad litem for his son,  
13 M.E.

14 4. Plaintiff MEGAN EVANS is a resident of the City of Antioch, County of Contra  
15 Costa, California. She brings this action on her own behalf.

16 5. Plaintiff M.E. is a minor and a resident of the City of Antioch, County of Contra  
17 Costa, California.

18 6. Plaintiff TERESA GREEN is a resident of the City of Antioch, County of Contra  
19 Costa, California. She brings this action on her own behalf and as guardian ad litem for her son,  
20 A.S.

21 7. Plaintiff A.S. is a minor and a resident of the City of Antioch, County of Contra  
22 Costa, California.

23 8. Plaintiff HEATHER CARRANZA is a resident of the City of Antioch, County of  
24 Contra Costa, California. She brings this action on her own behalf and as guardian ad litem for her  
25 son, L.C.

26 9. Plaintiff L.C. is a minor and a resident of the City of Antioch, County of Contra  
27 Costa, California.

28 10. Plaintiff MICHELE SMITH is a resident of the City of Antioch, County of Contra

1 Costa, California. She brings this action on her own behalf and as guardian ad litem for her son,  
2 M.M.

3 11. Plaintiff M.M. is a minor and a resident of the City of Antioch, County of Contra  
4 Costa, California.

5 12. At all times relevant to this complaint, defendant Theresa Allen-Caulboy (“ALLEN-  
6 CAULBOY”) was a teacher employed by the Antioch Unified School District (“AUSD”), Antioch,  
7 California. All actions alleged herein by ALLEN-CAULBOY were taken under color of state law  
8 and in the course and scope of her employment with the AUSD.

9 13. Defendant Michael Green (“GREEN”) is the principal of MNO Grant Elementary  
10 School in the AUSD. All actions alleged herein by GREEN were taken under color of state law  
11 and in the course and scope of his employment with the AUSD.

12 14. Defendant Dr. David Wax (“WAX”) is the Director of Special Education for the  
13 AUSD. All actions alleged herein by WAX were taken under color of state law and in the course  
14 and scope of his employment with the AUSD.

15 15. Defendant Keith Rogenski (“ROGENSKI”) is the Assistant Superintendent in  
16 charge of human resources for the AUSD. All actions alleged herein by ROGENSKI were taken  
17 under color of state law and in the course and scope of his employment with the AUSD.

18 16. Defendant Kai Montgomery (“MONTGOMERY”) is the Coordinator of Special  
19 Education for the AUSD. All actions alleged herein by MONTGOMERY were taken under color  
20 of state law and in the course and scope of her employment with the AUSD.

21 17. The AUSD is a public entity duly incorporated and operating under California law  
22 as a school district. Defendant AUSD is a public entity subject to Title II of the Americans with  
23 Disabilities Act of 1990, the requirements of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the requirements of  
24 California state law requiring full and equal access to public facilities pursuant to Government  
25 Code §§ 11135 and 4450, et seq., and to all other legal requirements referred to in this Complaint.

26 18. In enacting Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act Congress validly  
27 abrogated state sovereign immunity, and thus AUSD may be sued pursuant to Title II. *Hason v.*  
28 *Med. Bd. of California*, 279 F.3d 1167, 1170 (9th Cir. 2002). By accepting Federal Rehabilitation

1 Act funds, AUSD waived its sovereign immunity under the Eleventh Amendment to claims  
2 brought pursuant to § 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. *Pugliese v. Dillenberg*, 346 F.3d 937  
3 (9th Cir. 2003)

4 19. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act provides that “A State shall not be immune under  
5 the Eleventh Amendment of the Constitution of the United States from suit in Federal court for a  
6 violation of ... title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, or the provisions of any other Federal statute  
7 prohibiting discrimination by recipients of Federal financial assistance. 42 U.S.C. § 2000d-7(a)(1)

8 20. The true names and capacities of defendants sued as DOES 1 through 30 are  
9 unknown to Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs pray leave to amend to allege the true names and capacities  
10 when they are ascertained.

11 21. At all relevant times set forth herein, all Defendants acted in concert and as the  
12 agent of one another.

### 13 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### 14 **A. ALLEGATIONS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF M.E.**

15 22. M.E. was born on May 9, 2007 and has been diagnosed with autism. Larry and  
16 Megan Evans are his parents.

17 23. M.E. is African American.

18 24. On or about October, 2012, M.E. was a special education student at MNO Grant  
19 Elementary School in Antioch, California, assigned by the AUSD to the classroom of Special Day  
20 Class teacher defendant ALLEN-CAULBOY. When he was assigned to ALLEN-CAULBOY’s  
21 classroom, M.E. did not speak. He was five years old and weighed approximately 60 pounds.

22 25. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereon allege that M.E. and other special  
23 needs students were subjected to ongoing verbal and physical abuse in ALLEN-CAULBOY’s  
24 classroom. While in ALLEN-CAULBOY’s classroom, the teacher struck M.E., repeatedly held  
25 him on the ground with her knee, and forcibly gouged his face. M.E. observed other students being  
26 struck, pinched and subjected to other forms of physical abuse. M.E. heard the teacher verbally  
27 abuse students and refer to them by derogatory names.

28 26. Prior to entering MNO Grant Elementary School, M.E. had attended school for two

1 years in Clark County, Nevada. He was eager to attend and enjoyed school. While in ALLEN-  
2 CAULBOY's classroom, M.E.'s behavior underwent very significant adverse changes. He no  
3 longer wanted to go to school and came home crying. Because he is nonverbal, M.E. was unable to  
4 describe what was happening to him in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom. M.E. became fearful of  
5 having a shirt placed over his head and began to strenuously resist attempts by his parents to place  
6 any garment over his head. M.E. also began acting aggressively towards his parents and siblings.  
7 All of these behavioral changes were noticed during his attendance in ALLEN-CAULBOY's class  
8 and were not behaviors he had previously exhibited. While M.E. appears to now again enjoy  
9 attending class at a new school, the other behaviors described have continued to this day.

10 27. Megan Evans and Larry Evans reported to defendants ALLEN-CAULBOY and  
11 MONTGOMERY on numerous occasions the alarming changes in behavior they were observing in  
12 M.E. Megan Evans and Larry Evans contacted ALLEN-CAULBOY and MONTGOMERY via  
13 telephone on at least nineteen separate occasions yet no employee of the AUSD took any steps to  
14 determine the source of the drastic behavior changes in M.E. nor took any steps to stop ALLEN-  
15 CAULBOY's abuse of M.E. and other children in the classroom.

16 28. The Evans did not know their child was being abused in ALLEN-CAULBOY's  
17 class, but they were very concerned about the impact that continued assignment to the class was  
18 having on M.E. Eventually, the Evans became so disturbed by the distress M.E. was manifesting  
19 and were so dissatisfied with the lack of response by the District, they held M.E. back from school.

20 29. After the Evans removed M.E. from the school, the AUSD reassigned M.E. to  
21 Turner Elementary School in January, 2013.

22 30. On or about March 13, 2013, the Evans were contacted by Detective Meads of the  
23 Antioch Police Department regarding a criminal investigation of ALLEN-CAULBOY. Detective  
24 Meads described to them the physical abuse that M.E. had endured at the hands of ALLEN-  
25 CAULBOY. The Evans contacted MONTGOMERY and WAX, the Director of Special  
26 Education. WAX stated that the District had conducted an "investigation" of ALLEN-CAULBOY.  
27 He confirmed the information the Evans had received from Detective Meads. WAX stated that  
28 ALLEN-CAULBOY had been placed on administrative leave and had resigned from employment

1 with the AUSD.

2 31. Prior to March 13, 2013, the Evans did not suspect and had no reason to suspect,  
3 that the AUSD was intentionally failing to provide information concerning their son's abuse and  
4 injuries. Nor did they know that ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom was an unhealthy educational  
5 setting for M.E. and a hostile environment based on his disability.

6 32. Larry Evans and Megan Evans are informed and believe and thereon allege that  
7 ALLEN-CAULBOY physically abused and injured their son on various occasions and furthermore  
8 psychologically and emotionally abused their son. Larry Evans and Megan Evans are further  
9 informed and believe and thereon allege that ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom was a hostile  
10 environment for M.E. based on his disability.

11 33. The unreasonable, excessive and unwarranted battery on M.E. caused him and will  
12 continue to cause him physical injury, pain and emotional distress. AUSD employees compounded  
13 the injury by failing to promptly report it to M.E.'s parents or to responsible officials.

14 34. ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom was an unhealthy educational setting for M.E. and  
15 a hostile environment based on his disability.

16 **B. ALLEGATIONS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF A.S.**

17 35. A.S. was born on January 23, 2006 and has been diagnosed with autism. Teresa  
18 Green is his mother.

19 36. A.S. is African American.

20 37. On or about September, 2012, A.S. was a special education student at MNO Grant  
21 Elementary School in Antioch, California, assigned by the AUSD to the classroom of Special Day  
22 Class of defendant ALLEN-CAULBOY.

23 38. During the period A.S. was a student in ALLEN-CAULBOY's class, A.S. exhibited  
24 signs of anxiety, was sleeping poorly and waking at night, all of which were behavioral changes.  
25 A.S. also appeared to be anxious about going to school as he indicated on various occasions that he  
26 did not want to go to school. On a number of occasions, A.S. remarked "Bad Miss Caulboy".  
27 Teresa Green did not understand that one or more of the adults in the classroom were abusing A.S.

28 39. A.S. and other special needs students were subjected to ongoing verbal and physical

1 abuse in ALLEN-CAULBOY'S classroom including, but not limited to the following incidents:

2 40. On or about January 15, 2013, A.S. indicated he did not want to go to school and  
3 when they arrived to school he indicated to his mother that the teacher's aide "Tasha" had hurt his  
4 hand.

5 41. On or about January 17, 2013, Ms. Green received a telephone call and was  
6 informed by an employee of AUSD that her son A.S. had been physically injured in the classroom  
7 by his teacher defendant ALLEN-CAULBOY. Ms. Green was told that ALLEN-CAULBOY  
8 pinched A.S.'s nipple in an attempt to "get him to comply" with her request. Ms. Green was  
9 further informed that ALLEN-CAULBOY often yelled at A.S. using derogatory terms including  
10 "retard" and used inappropriate physical force against A.S.

11 42. As a result of receiving this information, Ms. Green made a report to the Antioch  
12 Police Department in January, 2013. Ms. Green's report to police resulted in a police investigation  
13 into how he was injured and who was responsible for his injuries.

14 43. A.S. has very limited ability to communicate. Based on the information received by  
15 Teresa Green in January, 2013, she now believes that ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom was an  
16 unhealthy educational setting for A.S. and a hostile environment based on his disability.

17 44. Subsequently, Ms. Green spoke with WAX, the Director of Special Education for  
18 the AUSD. Ms. Green described to WAX the physical and emotional abuse her son was  
19 experiencing in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom and she advised him of her concerns relating to  
20 A.S.'s safety. WAX advised Ms. Green that the AUSD was "aware" of other incidents involving  
21 ALLEN-CAULBOY but that he could not discuss the details of other complaints due to an ongoing  
22 "investigation".

23 45. On February 15, 2013, Teresa Green received a letter from MNO Grant Elementary  
24 Principal defendant GREEN. The letter advised parents that Special Day Class teacher defendant  
25 ALLEN-CAULBOY had "resigned her teaching position with the AUSD" and would not be  
26 returning to MNO Grant Elementary School.

27 46. Teresa Green is informed and believes and thereon alleges that ALLEN-CAULBOY  
28 physically abused and injured her son on various occasions and furthermore psychologically and



1 emotionally abused her son. Teresa Green is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that  
2 ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom was a hostile environment for A.S. based on his disability.

3 47. Prior to January 15, 2013, Teresa Green did not suspect and had no reason to  
4 suspect that the AUSD was intentionally failing to provide information concerning her son's  
5 injuries.

6 48. The unreasonable, excessive and unwarranted battery on A.S. caused him and will  
7 continue to cause him physical injury, pain and emotional distress. AUSD employees compounded  
8 the injury by failing to promptly report it to A.S.'s parent or to responsible officials.

9 **C. ALLEGATIONS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF L.C.**

10 49. L.C. was born on March 30, 2007 and has been diagnosed with autism. Heather  
11 Carranza is her mother.

12 50. L.C. is African American.

13 51. During the 2012/2013 school year, L.C. was a special education student at MNO  
14 Grant Elementary School in Antioch, California, assigned by the AUSD to the classroom of  
15 Special Day Class teacher defendant ALLEN-CAULBOY.

16 52. L.C. and other special needs students were subjected to ongoing verbal and physical  
17 abuse in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom including, but not limited to the following incidents:

18 53. On or about December, 2012, Heather Carranza noticed physical injuries on L.C.'s  
19 body and asked her daughter how she had been injured. L.C. told her mother that her teacher,  
20 defendant ALLEN-CAULBOY, had injured her.

21 54. Heather Carranza is informed and believes and thereon alleges that ALLEN-  
22 CAULBOY physically abused and injured her daughter on various occasions and furthermore  
23 psychologically and emotionally abused her daughter. Heather Carranza is further informed and  
24 believes and thereon alleges that ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom was a hostile environment for  
25 L.C. based on her disability.

26 55. As a result of receiving this information, Heather Carranza made an immediate  
27 telephone report to the principal of MNO Grant Elementary School, defendant GREEN in  
28 December, 2012 leaving a detailed voicemail message which complained of ALLEN-CAULBOY's



1 conduct and advising GREEN of the abuse occurring in this classroom.

2 56. In January, 2013, Heather Carranza observed multiple bruises on L.C.'s body. L.C.  
3 told her mother that ALLEN-CAULBOY had squeezed her elbow which left a visible bruise and  
4 had pushed her down.

5 57. Heather Carranza made a further telephone report to GREEN in January, 2013 again  
6 leaving a voicemail message reporting incidents in which ALLEN-CAULBOY injured L.C..

7 58. When GREEN did not return her call, Heather Carranza made additional calls to  
8 him in an attempt to discuss this serious matter with him.

9 59. When she finally reached GREEN by telephone, GREEN advised Heather Carranza  
10 to put her complaint in writing, which she did by sending him an email describing her concerns.

11 60. GREEN and other mandated reporters employed by the AUSD failed to report the  
12 suspected child abuse as required by the Child Abuse and Neglect Reporting Act. On information  
13 and belief, employees of the AUSD instead caused an investigation of Ms. Carranza by the Child  
14 and Family Services Bureau despite their knowledge that ALLEN-CAULBOY was in fact the  
15 person physically abusing L.C. and other children in the class.

16 61. Based on the information received by Ms. Carranza in December, 2012, and  
17 January, 2013, she believes that ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom was an unhealthy educational  
18 setting for L.C. and a hostile environment based on her disability.

19 62. On February 15, 2013, Ms. Carranza received a letter from MNO Grant Elementary  
20 Principal defendant GREEN. The letter advised parents that Special Day Class teacher defendant  
21 ALLEN-CAULBOY had "resigned her teaching position with the AUSD" and would not be  
22 returning to MNO Grant Elementary School.

23 63. Prior to December, 2012, Heather Carranza did not suspect and had no reason to  
24 suspect that the AUSD was intentionally failing to provide information concerning her daughter's  
25 injuries.

26 64. The unreasonable, excessive and unwarranted battery on L.C. caused her and will  
27 continue to cause her physical injury, pain and emotional distress. AUSD employees compounded  
28 the injury by failing to promptly report it to A.S.'s parent or to responsible officials.

1           **D.     ALLEGATIONS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF M.M.**

2           65.     M.M. was born on August 26, 2006 and has been diagnosed with autism. Michele  
3 Smith is his mother.

4           66.     M.M. is African American.

5           67.     On or about September, 2012, M.M. was a special education student at MNO Grant  
6 Elementary School in Antioch, California, assigned by the AUSD to the classroom of Special Day  
7 Class teacher ALLEN-CAULBOY.

8           68.     M.M. and other special needs students were subjected to ongoing verbal and  
9 physical abuse in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom including, but not limited to the following  
10 incidents:

11          69.     On or about November 28, 2012, M.M. indicated to his mother that ALLEN-  
12 CAULBOY had struck him in the head and face a number of times and also taunted him verbally  
13 and called him demeaning names and racial epithets.

14          70.     Ms. Smith is informed and believes and thereon alleges that ALLEN-CAULBOY  
15 physically abused and injured her son on various occasions and furthermore psychologically and  
16 emotionally abused her son. Ms. Smith is further informed and believes and alleges that ALLEN-  
17 CAULBOY's classroom was a hostile environment for M.M. based on his disability and his race.

18          71.     As a result of receiving this information, Ms. Smith made reports to GREEN,  
19 principal of MNO Grant Elementary School, WAX, Director of Special Education for AUSD,  
20 MONTGOMERY, Coordinator of Special Education for AUSD, Child Protective Services, and the  
21 Antioch Police Department. Ms. Smith's report to police resulted in a police investigation into  
22 how he was injured and who was responsible for his injuries.

23          72.     Ms. Smith has requested the results of the police investigation and she has been  
24 advised that the police investigation included contacting employees of the AUSD, including  
25 employees of MNO Grant Elementary School.

26          73.     In early December, 2012, Ms. Smith spoke with WAX, the Director of Special  
27 Education for AUSD. Ms. Smith described to WAX the physical and emotional abuse her son was  
28 experiencing in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom and she advised him of her concerns relating to

1 M.M.'s safety. WAX advised Ms. Smith that the district was "aware" of other incidents involving  
2 ALLEN-CAULBOY but that he could not discuss the details of other complaints due to an ongoing  
3 "investigation". WAX attempted to dissuade Ms. Smith from contacting the police or the media  
4 concerning the mistreatment of her son.

5 74. No employee of the AUSD has ever provided Ms. Smith with the results of the  
6 District's investigation.

7 75. Prior to November, 28, 2012, Ms. Smith did not suspect and had no reason to  
8 suspect that AUSD was intentionally failing to provide information concerning her son's injuries or  
9 the hostile and unhealthy education environment in his classroom.

10 76. The unreasonable, excessive and unwarranted battery on M.M. caused him and will  
11 continue to cause him physical injury, pain and emotional distress. AUSD employees compounded  
12 the injury by failing to promptly report it to M.M.'s parents or to responsible officials.

13 **E. ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL PLAINTIFFS**

14 77. ALLEN-CAULBOY treated African-American students more harshly than white  
15 students. In addition, she directed racial epithets toward African-American students. Defendants  
16 GREEN, WAX, ROGENSKI and MONTGOMERY and other unknown district and school  
17 officials were aware of ALLEN-CAULBOY's propensity to treat African-American students  
18 more harshly and her use of racial epithets in the classroom. They were deliberately indifferent to  
19 risk that plaintiffs and other African-American students would be the object of racial harassment  
20 in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom.

21 78. On information and belief, Defendants GREEN, WAX, ROGENSKI and  
22 MONTGOMERY and other unknown district and school officials, received reports from several  
23 sources that ALLEN-CAULBOY was physically and verbally abusing children in her classroom.  
24 Defendants failed to act on the reports that they received and attempted to prevent parents from  
25 reporting suspicions of child abuse to police agencies. As such, they were deliberately indifferent  
26 to the likelihood that students in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom would be subjected to violations  
27 of their constitutional rights.

28 79. On information and belief, all of the children in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom

1 were subjected to a hostile educational environment based on their disability. They were subjected  
2 to - and observed other children being subjected to - demeaning and insulting language, hostile and  
3 aggressive interactions with teachers and aides, and physical abuse including but not limited to  
4 hitting, gouging, pinching and restraining children. The physical abuse was severe enough to cause  
5 bruising and other injuries. The verbal abuse caused children intense fear and other psychological  
6 damage which continue to the present.

7 80. On information and belief, GREEN, WAX, ROGENSKI, MONTGOMERY and  
8 other unknown district and school officials, were aware of the incidents and of the potential for  
9 continuing harm to the children in ALLEN-CAULBOY's classroom, but failed to promptly inform  
10 the parents of the children. As a result, Plaintiffs Larry Evans, Megan Evans, Teresa Green,  
11 Heather Carranza and Michele Smith were deprived of the ability to comfort their children or to  
12 provide appropriate counseling, medical and/or psychological care. The failure to advise the  
13 parents promptly gave rise to the severe emotional distress in that they suffered a loss of trust in  
14 school officials and felt betrayed that they did not learn what their children had experienced at the  
15 time of the injuries when they could have responded immediately with appropriate parental support  
16 and care.

17 81. As a further proximate result of Defendants' action as alleged herein, minor  
18 Plaintiffs M.E., A.S. and L.C. have suffered physical injuries and pain and emotional distress and  
19 suffering. Plaintiffs Larry Evans, Megan Evans, Teresa Green, Heather Carranza and Michele  
20 Smith have suffered emotional distress and suffering.

21 82. As a further proximate result of Defendants' actions as alleged herein, Plaintiffs  
22 Larry Evans, Megan Evans, Teresa Green, Heather Carranza and Michele Smith have incurred and  
23 will continue to incur medical expenses.

24 83. Defendants ALLEN-CAULBOY, GREEN, WAX, ROGENSKI and  
25 MONTGOMERY's conduct was malicious and/or in reckless disregard of the plaintiffs' rights.

26 84. Plaintiffs have filed claims with AUSD pursuant to Government Code §§ 910, et  
27 seq. AUSD has not responded to the claims. In the event they are denied plaintiffs will seek leave  
28 to court to amend the complaint to allege additional causes of action.

**FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

**(Violation of Constitutional Rights, 42 U.S.C. § 1983; All Plaintiffs vs. Defendants GREEN, WAX, ROGENSKI and MONTGOMERY)**

85. Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege by reference the foregoing paragraphs 1 through 84 inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein.

86. Defendant ALLEN-CAULBOY violated minor Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M.'s rights under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution by actions, including but not limited to utilizing unjustified and unreasonable force against minor Plaintiffs.

87. Defendant ALLEN-CAULBOY violated minor Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M.'s rights under the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution by actions, including but not limited to, depriving Plaintiffs of equal protection under the law on the basis of race.

88. Defendant GREEN, WAX, ROGENSKI and MONTGOMERY violated minor Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M.'s rights under the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution by actions, including but not limited to, acting with deliberate indifference to the risk of harm to plaintiffs from ALLEN-CAULBOY.

89. Defendants ALLEN-CAULBOY, GREEN, WAX, ROGENSKI and MONTGOMERY violated Plaintiffs Larry Evans, Megan Evans, Teresa Green, Heather Carranza, Michele Smith and M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M.'s rights under the Due Process Clause to the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution by actions, including but not limited to:

a. Intentionally interfering with the parent child relationship by concealing information regarding the physical and emotional trauma inflicted on M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. by ALLEN-CAULBOY.

b. Intentionally interfering with Larry Evans, Megan Evans, Teresa Green, Heather Carranza, Michele Smith and M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M.'s right to provide and receive nurture, support and comfort regarding a highly traumatic event.

90. As a proximate result of the violations alleged in paragraphs 85 - 89, Plaintiffs have suffered damages as heretofore alleged.

1 **SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

2 **(Discrimination in Violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act; Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C.**  
3 **and M.M. vs. the DISTRICT)**

4 91. Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. incorporate and reallege by reference the  
5 foregoing paragraphs 1 through 90, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein.

6 92. Effective January 26, 1992, Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. were entitled to  
7 the protections of the "Public Services" provision of Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act  
8 of 1990. Title II, Subpart A prohibits discrimination by any "public entity," including any state or  
9 local government, as defined by 42 USC § 12131, section 201 of the ADA.

10 93. Pursuant to 42 USC §12132, Section 202 of Title II, no qualified individual with a  
11 disability shall, by reason of such disability, be excluded from participation in or be denied the  
12 benefits of the services, programs or activities of a public entity, or be subjected to discrimination  
13 by any such entity. Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. were at all times relevant herein a  
14 qualified individual with a disability as therein defined.

15 94. AUSD has failed in its responsibilities under Title II to provide its services,  
16 programs and activities in a full and equal manner to disabled persons as described hereinabove,  
17 including failing to ensure that educational services are provided on an equal basis to children with  
18 disabilities and free of hostility toward their disability.

19 95. AUSD has further failed in its responsibilities under Title II to provide its services,  
20 programs and activities in a full and equal manner to disabled persons as described hereinabove by  
21 subjecting plaintiff to a hostile educational environment.

22 96. As a result of AUSD's failure to comply with its duty under Title II, Plaintiffs M.E.,  
23 A.S., L.C. and M.M. have suffered damages including special and general damages according to  
24 proof.

25 **THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

26 **(Violation of § 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. vs.**  
27 **the DISTRICT)**

28 97. Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. incorporate and reallege by reference the

1 foregoing paragraphs 1 through 96, inclusive, as if they were fully set forth herein.

2 98. Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. are informed and believe and therefore allege  
3 that AUSD is and has been at all relevant times the recipient of federal financial assistance, and  
4 that part of that financial assistance has been used to fund the operations, construction and/or  
5 maintenance of the specific public facilities described herein and the activities that take place  
6 therein.

7 99. By their actions or inactions in denying equal access to educational services and by  
8 subjecting plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. to a hostile educational environment, defendant has  
9 violated plaintiff M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M.'s rights under § 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973,  
10 29 USC § 794, and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

11 100. As a result of the District's failure to comply with its duty under § 504 of the  
12 Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 USC § 794, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, plaintiffs  
13 M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. have suffered damages including special and general damages  
14 according to proof.

15 **FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

16 **(Violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and**  
17 **M.M. vs. the DISTRICT)**

18 101. Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. incorporate and reallege by reference the  
19 foregoing paragraphs 1 through 100, inclusive as if they were fully set forth herein.

20 102. Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. are informed and believe and therefore allege  
21 that AUSD is and has been at all relevant times the recipient of federal financial assistance, and  
22 that part of that financial assistance has been used to fund the operations, construction and/or  
23 maintenance of the specific public facilities described herein and the activities that take place  
24 therein.

25 103. Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. are informed and believe that they were  
26 specifically targeted and harassed by ALLEN-CAULBOY on the basis of their race.

27 104. AUSD had actual notice that ALLEN-CAULBOY's harassment of Plaintiffs M.E.,  
28 A.S., L.C. and M.M. was based on race.



1 105. By failing to conduct an adequate investigation of known or suspected misconduct,  
 2 AUSD exhibited deliberate indifference to the racial harassment of Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and  
 3 M.M..

4 106. AUSD's failure to promptly and appropriately respond to the racial harassment  
 5 resulted in Plaintiffs M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. being excluded from participation in, being denied  
 6 the benefits of, and being subjected to discrimination in the District's education program in  
 7 violation of Title VI.

8 107. As a result of AUSD's failure to comply with its duty under § 601 of Title VI of the  
 9 Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000d, et seq., and its implementing regulations, Plaintiffs  
 10 M.E., A.S., L.C. and M.M. have suffered damages including special and general damages  
 11 according to proof.

12 **JURY DEMAND**

13 Plaintiff hereby demands that this matter be tried to a jury.

14 **PRAYER**

15 WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs prays for judgment as follows:

- 16 1. Compensatory damages to Plaintiffs for injury, emotional distress and for medical  
 17 expenses;  
 18 2. Punitive damages against Defendants ALLEN-CAULBOY, GREEN, WAX, ROGENSKI  
 19 and MONTGOMERY, and each of them;  
 20 3. Attorney's fees and costs; and  
 21 4. Such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

22 Dated: April 29, 2013

HINTON ALFERT & KAHN LLP

23 /s/

PETER W. ALFERT  
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

24  
 25  
 26 Dated: April 26, 2013

LAW OFFICE OF TODD BOLEY

27 /s/

TODD BOLEY  
 Attorneys for Plaintiffs