	Case 2:11-cv-01899-NVW Document 236	Filed 08/10/16	Page 1 of 1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IN THE UNITED STATE FOR THE DISTRIC William Grundemann and Rhonda Grundemann, individually and as parents of minor, A.G., Plaintiffs, V. Paradise Valley Unified School District, et	CT OF ARIZON	
14	al., Defendants.		
 Before the Court is School District Defendants' Response Brief Regulation Claims (Doc. 235). Defendants' Response Brief violates the Distr Arizona's Rules of Practice ("Local Rules" or "LRCiv") because the footnota in a fixed-pitch type size less than 13 point font per LRCiv 7.1(b)(1). The strike these pleadings with leave to re-file. On the Court's own motion and because the Clerk of Court is not at strike a non-conforming filing, see Rule 5(d)(4), Fed.R.Civ.P., IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants' Response Brief (De stricken with leave to refile no later than August 11, 2016. Dated this 9th day of August, 2016. 			iolates the District Court of ause the footnotes are typed 7.1(b)(1). The Court will Court is not authorized to bonse Brief (Doc. 235) is